

<u>Consideration/Summary</u>	WILL NOT CONSIDER FUNDING	HESITANT TO CONSIDER FUNDING	WILL CONSIDER FUNDING
<p>1. Statement of the problem and alignment with one or more of the Macon Violence Prevention Strategic Plan’s Community Priorities</p> <p><i>Addresses specific priority area of MVP Strategic Plan</i></p>	<p>Applicant does not adequately state the problem; no evidence-based justification for the project—little or no discussion of connection between proposed project and the Macon Violence Prevention Strategic Plan’s Community priorities. The applicant does not include a clear statement of work to be completed or make a compelling case.</p>	<p>Discussion of connection between proposed project and the Macon Violence Prevention Strategic Plan’s Community priorities. The applicant fails to make a compelling case.</p>	<p>Applicant clearly states the problem and provides a strong rationale for grant funding, clearly explaining activities and outcomes. There is a clear connection between the proposed project and the Macon Violence Prevention Strategic Plan’s Community priorities. The significance of the proposed activities is clear and well defined.</p>
<p>2. Program Capacity, Project Design, and Implementation</p> <p><i>Demonstrates the ability to achieve program outcomes that reduce risk factors and/or enhance protective factors.</i></p>	<p>Project design is vague and not clearly linked to project goals. Organization does not demonstrate capacity to achieve program outcomes that reduce risk factors and/or enhance protective factors.</p>	<p>Adequate project design with procedures and activities is defined, but project is unclear, lacks innovation, and is not clearly linked to project goals. Organization demonstrates little to moderate capacity to achieve program outcomes that reduce risk factors and/or enhance protective factors</p>	<p>Strong and innovative project design with procedures and activities that are well defined, fully explained, and link to project goals. Organization demonstrates great capacity to achieve program outcomes that reduce risk factors and/or enhance protective factors</p>
<p>3. Data-Driven</p> <p>Demonstrates the ability and/or a plan to collect program performance and impact data</p>	<p>Proposal does not include any methods to assess participants, evaluate the project, and does not incorporate feedback from participants (and if applicable parents or partner organizations) to improve programming.</p>	<p>Proposal includes at least one method to assess participants or evaluate the project and has a good plan for data collection, receives feedback from program participants, but does not have a clear plan to incorporate it to</p>	<p>Proposal includes a solid data collection plan, and a variety of methods to assess participants, evaluate and celebrate individual and community agency, benchmark success and challenges.</p> <p>Data plan reflects continuous feedback and incorporation of information from community, stakeholders, and program participants</p>

		inform program design and delivery.	to evaluate the project and inform program design and delivery.
<p>4. Financial Management and Budget</p> <p>Demonstrates the ability to account for grant funding and leverage other financial and/or in-kind support from other community partnerships.</p>	Key expenses are neither described nor justified. The method for arriving at budgeted expense categories/ amounts is not provided.	Some expenses are described and justified. The method for arriving at budgeted expense categories/amounts is unclear or requires inference.	Key expenses are fully described and justified. The method for arriving at budgeted expense categories/amounts is clearly explained. Budget is directly connected to project description, goals, and timetable. Budget demonstrates commitment to money flowing to the hands of program participants and other residents that are asked to assist with program implementation.
<p>5. Collaborative Approach</p> <p>Demonstrates the ability to partner with other public agencies and/or nonprofit organizations to support crime prevention and/or reduction efforts. Provides a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for each collaborating partner.</p>	Critical project partner is missing from the project plans, or at least one collaborative partner's contribution to the project is unclear. No MOUs provided.	Proposal shows some indication of appropriate collaborative partners. Applicant has provided MOUs for some partners, but not all.	Proposal includes a well-defined plan identifying appropriate collaborative partners, each of which add value to the program. Applicant has provided MOUs for all partners.
<p>6. Outreach, direct service, and/or case management</p> <p>Clear methods for implementation of the program are outlined in the application</p>	Proposed work does not provide any evidence-based interventions and has no focus on outreach/engagement strategies, direct services nor intensive case management (or other affiliated interventions).	Proposed work mentions some aspect of evidence-based interventions, outreach, direct service, and or case management but does not provide details on implementation.	Proposed work provides evidence-based interventions and has a clear focus on outreach, direct service provision, and/ or case management.
<p>7. Organization supports opportunity and inclusion for all</p> <p>Organization through the proposed project works to eliminate inequities and disparities</p>	Application does not address how the organization and/or project will address eliminating inequities and disparities.	Application mentions aspects of eliminating inequities and disparities but does not provide details on how this is implemented.	Application has a clear focus on opportunity and inclusion, and clearly addresses eliminating inequities and disparities.